

Appl. No. 09/344,814  
October 12, 2004, 2:00pm interview agenda

*claimed?* original image (see below). Thus, Examiner is correct in finding that Reinhardt discloses a transformation (page 3, third paragraph, of the July 2 Office Action); however, the transformation is not of a product to be added as required by the limitation reproduced above, but rather of a representation an object that was present in the original image.

*OK* Reinhardt's projection transforms the wireframe of a 3D primitive corresponding to an object depicted in the image. That is the main point of the reference (see, for example, Column 8 lines 39-41, which reads "[p]rojection through the virtual cameras 807 yields the same two-dimensional projected geometry as is visible in the underlaid digital images 701." This point was likely not appreciated by Examiner, as apparent from page 5, first three lines, of the July 2 Office Action. The relevant text reads "[f]urthermore, in Reinhardt's abstract, lines 1-2, the invention constructs a model on top of the image. This would at least imply that the product is not present in the scene initially". This interpretation of Reinhardt is incorrect because it is at odds with what is disclosed throughout the reference. Reinhardt's only objective is constructing a 3D model of what is depicted in 2D scenes (images). This is apparent, for example, from Abstract, column 1 lines 5-10, column 2 lines 43-46, column 3 lines 28-30, column 3 lines 56-63, column 3 line 66 to column 4 line 4, column 4 lines 5-12, column 5 line 1-11, column 5 line 12-23, column 5 line 24-38, column 5 line 39-51, column 6 line 52-63, column 7 line 23-63, column 9 lines 1-6, column 10 lines 33 to 36, column 16 lines 9 to 10, and column 16 lines 20-24. Nowhere in Reinhardt is reference made to a product within the meaning of limitation f) of claim 13.

*But does the claim distinguish from this? what about combination w/ Fisher?*


*6x*

Appl. No. 09/344,814  
October 12, 2004, 2:00pm interview agenda

Applicant wishes to thank Examiner for the opportunity of this interview, and looks forward to the interview. Examiner is encouraged to contact Applicant's representative with any concerns.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 11, 2004



Mircea Achiriloaie

Reg. No. 48,880

Address: **FERNANDEZ & ASSOCIATES LLP**  
Patent Attorneys  
1047 El Camino Real  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Phone: (650) 325-4999 / (650) 537-0050 cell  
Fax: (650) 325-1203  
Email: mircea@iploft.com